I. Purpose

Academic freedom provides extensive protection for inquiry and speech while recognizing the correlative responsibility to adhere to standards of professional conduct and performance, duty to the institution, and respect for the dignity of colleagues, students and other members of the university community are met.

II. Policy

1. Academic Freedom

Northwest subscribes to the American Association of University Professors statements on academic freedom, professional ethics, and faculty freedom and responsibility as defined in the following sections. This endorsement should not be construed as a general endorsement of all policies of the American Association of University Professors.

"Teachers are entitled to full freedom in research and in the publication of the results, subject to the adequate performance of their other academic duties; but research for pecuniary return should be based upon an understanding with the authorities of the institution.

"Teachers are entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing their subject, but they should be careful not to introduce into their teaching controversial matter which has no relation to
their subject. Limitations of academic freedom because of religious or other aims of the institution should be clearly stated in writing at the time of the appointment.

"College and university teachers are citizens, members of a learned profession, and officers of an educational institution. When they speak or write as citizens, they should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but their special position in the community imposes special obligations. As scholars and educational officers, they should remember that the public may judge their profession and their institution by their utterances. Hence they should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, should show respect for the opinions of others, and should make every effort to indicate that they are not speaking for the institution." (B. Robert Crazier, ed., "1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure with 1970 Interpretive Comments," American Association of University Professors Policy Documents and Reports, Seventh Edition, 1990, 3-4.)

2. Professional Ethics

Northwest subscribes to the belief that self-regulation is preferable to any externally imposed discipline. In this regard, the University faculty shall impose obligations upon individual professors that require any serious breach of duties to be judged by colleagues who are well acquainted with the problems and practices of a specialized field. Only in extreme cases of serious violations of professional responsibilities will an academic area regulate itself by calling upon University representation to deal with issues that could have been avoided either by individual self-control or by departmental discipline. Nothing herein is intended to restrict the authority of the Board of Regents or its designees.

"Professors, guided by a deep conviction of the worth and dignity of the advancement of knowledge, recognize the special responsibilities placed upon them. Their primary responsibility to their subject is to seek and to state the truth as they see it. To this end professors devote their energies to developing and improving their scholarly competence. They accept the obligation to exercise critical self-discipline and judgment in using, extending, and transmitting knowledge. They practice intellectual honesty. Although professors may follow subsidiary interests, these interests must never seriously hamper or compromise their freedom of inquiry.

"As teachers, professors encourage the free pursuit of learning in their students. They hold before them the best scholarly and ethical standards of their discipline. Professors demonstrate respect for students as individuals and adhere to their proper roles as intellectual guides and counselors. Professors make every reasonable effort to foster honest academic conduct and to ensure that their evaluations of students reflect each student's true merit. They respect the confidential nature of the relationship between professor and student. They avoid any exploitation, harassment, or discriminatory treatment of students. They acknowledge significant academic or scholarly assistance from them. They protect their academic freedom.

"As colleagues, professors have obligations they derive from common membership in the community of scholars. Professors do not discriminate against or harass colleagues. They
respect and defend the free inquiry of associates. In the exchange of criticism and ideas professors show due respect for the opinions of others. Professors acknowledge academic debt and strive to be objective in their professional judgment of colleagues. Professors accept their share of faculty responsibilities for the governance of their institution.

"As members of an academic institution, professors seek above all to be effective teachers and scholars. Although professors observe the stated regulations of the institution, provided the regulations do not contravene academic freedom, they maintain their right to criticize and seek revision. Professors give due regard to their paramount responsibilities within their institution in determining the amount and character of work done outside it. When considering the interruption or termination of their service, professors recognize the effect of their decision upon the program of the institution and give due notice of their intentions.

"As members of their community, professors have the rights and obligations of other citizens. Professors measure the urgency of these obligations in the light of their responsibilities to their subject, to their students, to their profession, and to their institution. When they speak or act as private persons they avoid creating the impression of speaking or acting for their college or university. As citizens engaged in a profession that depends upon freedom for its health and integrity, professors have a particular obligation to promote conditions of free inquiry and to further public understanding of academic freedom." (B. Robert Crazier, ed., "Statement of Professional Ethics," American Association of University Professors Policy Documents and Reports, Seventh Edition, 1990, 75-76.)

3. Faculty Freedom and Responsibility

Cognizant of the dangers to academic freedom that may arise from its misunderstanding and abuse, the University subscribes to the following statement of freedom and responsibility:

"Membership in the academic community imposes on students, faculty members, administrators, and trustees an obligation to respect the dignity of others, to acknowledge their right to express differing opinions, and to foster and defend intellectual honesty, freedom of inquiry and instruction, and free expression on and off the campus. The expression of dissent and the attempt to produce change, therefore, may not be carried out in ways which injure individuals or damage institutional facilities or disrupt the classes of one's teachers or colleagues. Speakers 1486 on campus must not only be protected from violence, but also be given an opportunity to be heard. Those who seek to call attention to grievances must not do so in ways that significantly impede the functions of the institution.

"Students are entitled to an atmosphere conducive to learning and to even-handed treatment in all aspects of the teacher-student relationship. Faculty members may not refuse to enroll or teach students on the grounds of their beliefs or the possible uses to which they may put the knowledge to be gained in a course. Students should not be forced by the authority inherent in the instructional role to make particular personal choices as to political action or their own part in society. Evaluation of students and the award of credit must be based on academic
performance professionally judged and not on matters irrelevant to that performance, whether
personality, race, religion, degree of political activism, or personal beliefs.

"It is the mastery teachers have of their subjects and their own scholarship that entitles them to
their classrooms and to freedom in the presentation of their subjects. Thus, it is improper for an
instructor persistently to intrude material that has no relation to the subject, or to fail to
present the subject matter of the course as announced to the students and as approved by the
faculty in their collective responsibility for the curriculum.

"Because academic freedom has traditionally included the instructor's full freedom as a citizen,
most faculty members face no insoluble conflicts between the claims of politics, social action,
and conscience, on the one hand, and the claims and expectations of their students, colleagues,
and institutions, on the other. If such conflicts become acute, and attention to obligations as a
citizen and moral agent precludes an instructor from fulfilling substantial academic obligations,
the instructor cannot escape the responsibility of that choice, but should either request a leave
of absence or resign his or her academic position." (B. Robert Crazier, ed., "Statement of
Freedom and Responsibility," American Association of University Professors Policy Documents
and Reports, Seventh Edition, 1990, 77-78.)